JOHN HADLEY, Plaintiff v. EWALT HADLEY, Defendant Civil Action No. 480

Trial Division of the High Court
Ponape District
January 20, 1975

Land ownership dispute. The Trial Division of the High Court, Brown, Associate Justice, held that eldest son of decedent who died in 1956, was, under then applicable German Land Code, entitled to his father's lands to the exclusion of illegitimate son whose parents never married.

HADLEY v. HADLEY

1. Judgments-Summary Judgment

Where no fact questions were presented, court would decide issues of law and would enter summary judgment where that was an appropriate disposition of the case.

2. Ponape Land Law-Inheritance

German Land Code, which governed succession and inheritance on Ponape until enactment of District Order in 1957, governed disposition of property of decedent who died in 1956.

3. Deeds-Japanese Confirmation

Trust Territory Government was entitled to rely upon Japanese administration's 1934 confirmation of German deeds.

4. Ponape Land Law-Inheritance

Eldest son, and not illegitimate son whose parents never married, was entitled, under the then applicable German Land Code, to land owned by his father, who died in 1956.

Assessor:

Interpreter:

Reporter:

Counsel for Plaintiff: Counsel for Defendant: CARL KOHLER

HERBERT GALLEN

MISSY F. TMAN

JOANES EDMUND

JOHNNY MAKAYA

BROWN, Associate Justice

Plaintiff moves the Court for summary judgment herein. The motion is meritorious and is granted.

This case involves a dispute as to the ownership of certain lands on Ponape Island, all being covered by German land deeds numbers 343, 345 and 346, and all of which lands are possessed by defendant. Plaintiff sues and claims to be the owner thereof, except for that portion heretofore conveyed by him to Joanis Iriarte.

The parties agree, and there is no argument, that the following facts are true:

- 1. Plaintiff is the eldest legitimate son of one Kulian;
- 2. Defendant is an illegitimate son of Kulian;
- 3. Kulian died intestate in 1956;

- 4. The deeds to which reference has been made show that Kulian was the owner of the land in question; and
- 5. No other record can be located which varies ownership as revealed in the German land deeds; on the contrary, the German land deeds bear Japanese endorsements confirming title.
- [1] No factual question is presented; thus this Court may and should decide any issue of law before it and enter summary judgment, which is an appropriate disposition of a case where there is no dispute as to a material fact. *Likidimus v. Likidimus*, 4 T.T.R. 331; *Julios v. Amusten*, 4 T.T.R. 25.

It being clear that Kulian died intestate in 1956 and was the owner of the land at the time of his death, the only question before the Court is one that requires an application of the law of intestate succession in Ponape.

[2] The law of succession and inheritance on Ponape is governed here by the German Land Code which remained unchanged until the enactment of Ponape District Order No. 8-57 which became effective on February 1, 1957. Since Kulian died in 1956, no discussion of that order is necessary. Instead, it is necessary only to examine the German Land Code, the pertinent part of which reads:

"If a man, owner of a land, should die, his land shall remain undivided; his first born son shall succeed him. An illegitimate child will own his father's land as though he were a real child if his father and mother later married..."

There is no claim that Kulian ever married defendant's mother. Thus, it is clear that defendant's status is that of an illegitimate child.

[3] The German deeds establishing title in Kulian were confirmed by the Japanese administration in 1934, and the present administration is entitled to rely on and respect

HADLEY v. HADLEY

these acts of the former administration. Oneitam v. Suain, 4 T.T.R. 62; Raimato v. Trust Territory, 3 T.T.R. 269.

The precise boundaries of the land which forms the subject matter of this case are believed to be reasonably correct under the circumstances, but to minimize the likelihood of further dispute, a survey thereof is necessary.

Accordingly, it is

Ordered, adjudged and decreed that:-

- [4] 1. Plaintiff have judgment against defendant;
- 2. Plaintiff is hereby declared to be and is the owner of that certain land on Ponape Island described as:
- a. The center of Mwudok as indicated by four rock monuments, and described in German land deed No. 343;
- b. Niseres, described in German land deed No. 345, except for one half (1/2) hectare thereof, more or less, which, for valuable consideration, plaintiff heretofore conveyed to Joanis Iriarte;
 - c. Paniau, described in German land deed No. 346;
- 3. The Land Commission is directed to survey said lands within ninety (90) days of this judgment and, if necessary, to correct its records to accurately describe said lands and the ownership thereof so as to conform to this judgment; and
 - 4. Costs herein are awarded to plaintiff.